arXiv:1411.0184v3 [math.CO] 28 Jan 2015

Enumeration of copermanental graphs*

Shunyi Liu†
College of Science, Chang'an University
Xi'an, Shaanxi 710064, P.R. China
E-mail: liu@chd.edu.cn

Jinjun Ren
Department of Computer Science, Dingxi Teachers College
Dingxi, Gansu 743000, P.R. China
E-mail: wlkx24206221@163.com

Abstract

Let G be a graph and A the adjacency matrix of G. The permanental polynomial of G is defined as $\mathrm{per}(xI - A)$. In this paper some of the results from a numerical study of the permanental polynomials of graphs are presented. We determine the permanental polynomials for all graphs on at most 11 vertices, and count the numbers for which there is at least one other graph with the same permanental polynomial. The data give some indication that the fraction of graphs with a copermanental mate tends to zero as the number of vertices tends to infinity, and show that the permanental polynomial does be better than characteristic polynomial when we use them to characterize graphs.

Keywords: Permanent; Permanental polynomial; Copermanental graphs; Enumeration

AMS subject classification 2010: 05C31, 05C50, 15A15.

1 Introduction

The *permanent* of an $n \times n$ matrix M with entries m_{ij} $(i, j = 1, 2, \ldots, n)$ is defined by

$$\mathrm{per}(M) = \sum_{\sigma} \prod_{i=1}^{n} m_{i\sigma(i)},$$

*Supported by NSFC (grant no. 11401044).
†Corresponding author.

where the sum is taken over all permutations σ of $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. This scalar function of the matrix M appears repeatedly in the literature of combinatorics and graph theory in connection with certain enumeration and extremal problems. For example, the permanent of a (0,1)-matrix enumerates perfect matchings in bipartite graphs [24]. The permanent is defined similarly to the determinant. However, no efficient algorithm for computing the permanent is known, while the determinant can be calculated using Gaussian elimination. More precisely, Valiant [30] has shown that computing the permanent is #P-complete even when restricted to (0,1)-matrices.

Let G be a graph on n vertices and $A(G)$ the adjacency matrix of G. The *characteristic polynomial* of G, $\phi(G, x)$, is defined as the determinant of the characteristic matrix of $A(G)$, i.e.,

$$\phi(G, x) = \det(xI_n - A(G)),$$

where I_n is the identity matrix of size n.

The *permanental polynomial* of G, $\pi(G, x)$, is defined as the permanent of the characteristic matrix of $A(G)$, i.e.,

$$\pi(G, x) = \mathrm{per}(xI_n - A(G)).$$

It seems that the permanental polynomial was first considered by Turner [29]. He in fact considered a graph polynomial called generalized characteristic polynomial which generalizes both the permanental and characteristic polynomials. The permanental polynomials of graphs were first systematically studied by Merris et al. [26], and the study of analogous objects in chemical literature was started by Kasum et al. [20]. In these two papers, an important Sachs-form formula was obtained independently which relates the coefficients of the permanental polynomial of a graph with structural properties of the given graph. The literature on permanental polynomial is far less than that on characteristic polynomial (see, for example, [1–4, 8–11, 15, 19–21, 26, 28, 31–33]). This may be due to the difficulty of computing the permanent.

Recall that two graphs G and H are said to be *cospectral* if they have the same spectrum, and a graph G is *determined* by its spectrum (or equivalently, characteristic polynomial) if any graph cospectral with G is isomorphic to G. Analogously, Merris et al. [26] defined two graphs G and H to be *copermanental* if they have the same permanental polynomial. A graph H, copermanental but non-isomorphic to a graph G, is called a *copermanental mate* of G. We say that a graph G is *determined* (or *characterized*) by its permanental polynomial if it has no copermanental mates.

For any graph polynomial, it is of interest to determine its ability to characterize graphs [27]. In [26], Merris et al. formulated that the permanental polynomial seems a little better than the characteristic polynomial when it comes to distinguishing graphs which are not trees, since they found that

the permanental polynomial distinguishes the five pairs of cospectal graphs of [18]. It is natural to ask whether the permanental polynomial really performs better than the characteristic polynomial when we use them to distinguish graphs.

Motivated by Merris et al.'s formulation, Liu and Zhang [22] studied the copermanental characterizations of some specific graphs. They showed that complete graphs, stars, regular complete bipartite graphs and odd cycles are determined by their permanental polynomials, and found that graphs determined by the characteristic polynomial are not necessarily determined by the permanental polynomial. In particular, it was shown that in general, the paths, even cycles and lollipop graphs cannot be determined by the permanental polynomial [22, 23], while they are determined by their characteristic polynomials [5, 12, 16]. Recently, Cámara and Haemers [7] proved that there is just one pair of cospectral graphs when at most five edges are deleted from K_n (the complete graph on n vertices), whereas Zhang et al. [34] showed that all graphs obtained from K_n by removing at most five edges are determined by the permanental polynomial.

The spectral characterizations of graphs have been extensively studied, and it was conjectured that almost all graphs are determined by their characteristic polynomials [12, 13]. Some computational results on the spectra of graphs have been obtained. Godsil and McKay [14] enumerated by computer all graphs on at most 9 vertices, computed their spectra and determined the numbers of graphs for which there exists at least one cospectral mate. Haemers and Spence [17] extended the computer enumeration to graphs on 10 and 11 vertices. Brouwer and Spence [6] found the characteristic polynomials for all graphs on 12 vertices, and statistics related to the number of cospectral graphs are obtained. The present data give some indication that possibly almost no graph has a cospectral mate.

The main purpose of this paper is to give the preliminary results of a computational study of copermanentality of graphs. We enumerate by computer the permanental polynomials of all graphs on at most 11 vertices, and determine the number of graphs for which there exists at least one copermanental mate. The numerical data give some indication that the fraction of graphs with a copermanental mate tends to zero as the number of vertices tends to infinity, and show that the permanental polynomial does be better than characteristic polynomial when we use them to characterize graphs.

2 Computational Results

To determine the copermanentality of graphs we first of all have to generate the graphs by computer and then determine their permanental polynomials. All graphs on at most 11 vertices are generated by the well-known

nauty and Traces package [25]. Then the permanental polynomials of these graphs are computed by a Maple procedure. Finally we count the number of copermanental graphs.

The results are in Table 1. This table lists for $n \leq 11$ the total number of graphs on n vertices, the total number of distinct permanental polynomials of such graphs, the number of such graphs with a copermanental mate, the fraction of such graphs with a copermanental mate, and the size of the largest family of copermanental graphs.

Table 1: Data of permanental polynomials of graphs on $n \leq 11$ vertices

n	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	fraction with mate	max. family
0	1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	0	0	1
2	2	2	0	0	1
3	4	4	0	0	1
4	11	11	0	0	1
5	34	34	0	0	1
6	156	153	6	0.03846	2
7	1044	1035	17	0.01628	3
8	12346	12247	188	0.01523	4
9	274668	274153	980	0.00357	5
10	12005168	11999059	11869	0.00099	7
11	1018997864	1018915198	163534	0.00016	12

For comparing numerical data directly between the permanental and characteristic polynomials, Table 2 lists the corresponding data of the characteristic polynomials of graphs on at most 12 vertices [6, 17].

Table 2: Data of characteristic polynomials of graphs on $n \leq 12$ vertices

n	#graphs	#char. pols	# with mate	fraction with mate	max. family
0	1	1	0	0	1
1	1	1	0	0	1
2	2	2	0	0	1
3	4	4	0	0	1
4	11	11	0	0	1
5	34	33	2	0.059	2
6	156	151	10	0.064	2
7	1044	988	110	0.105	3
8	12346	11453	1722	0.139	4
9	274668	247357	51039	0.186	10
10	12005168	10608128	2560606	0.213	21
11	1018997864	901029366	215331676	0.211	46
12	165091172592	148187993520	31067572481	0.188	128

In Table 1 we see that the fraction of graphs with a copermanental mate appears to be decreasing with the number of vertices. In particular, the fraction decreases sharply at $n = 10$, and about 0.099% of all graphs on 10 vertices are not determined by their permanental polynomials. The data give some indication that possibly the fraction of graphs with a copermanental mate tends to zero as n tends to infinity. An interesting observation from Tables 1 and 2 is that the fractions of graphs with a copermanental mate are much less than that with a cospectral mate. In addition, the fractions

of graphs with a copermanental mate decrease rapidly with the number of vertices, while the fractions of graphs with a cospectral mate increase at first and start to decrease at $n = 10$. Clearly, the data show that the permanental polynomial performs better than the charactersitic polynomial when we use them to characterize graphs. However, it is worth pointing out that the study of copermanental characterizations of graphs is more difficult than the cospectral characterizations of graphs (see, for example, [22, 23, 34]).

Since two graphs with distinct number of edges must have distinct permanental polynomials [22], the enumeration has been carried out for each possible number of edges. The data, differentiated according to the number m of edges, is presented in Tables 3–10.

We end with the smallest pairs of cospectral and copermanental graphs. The smallest pair of cospectral graphs is illustrated in Fig. 1(a), and the smallest three pairs of copermanental graphs are illustrated in Fig. 1(b), (c) and (d).



Figure 1: The smallest pairs of cospectral and copermanental graphs.

Appendix

We list the numbers of graphs for all numbers m of edges up to 11 vertices, the numbers of distinct permanental polynomials of such graphs, the numbers of such graphs with a copermanental mate, and the maximum size of a family of copermanental graphs (see Tables 3–10).

Table 3: Graphs on 4 vertices

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
0	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	1
3	3	3	0	1

(Continued on next page)

Table 3 *(Continued)*

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
4	2	2	0	1
5	1	1	0	1
6	1	1	0	1

Table 4: Graphs on 5 vertices

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
0	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	1
3	4	4	0	1
4	6	6	0	1
5	6	6	0	1
6	6	6	0	1
7	4	4	0	1
8	2	2	0	1
9	1	1	0	1
10	1	1	0	1

Table 5: Graphs on 6 vertices

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
0	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	1
3	5	5	0	1
4	9	7	4	2
5	15	15	0	1
6	21	21	0	1
7	24	23	2	2
8	24	24	0	1
9	21	21	0	1
10	15	15	0	1
11	9	9	0	1
12	5	5	0	1
13	2	2	0	1
14	1	1	0	1
15	1	1	0	1

Table 6: Graphs on 7 vertices

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
0	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	1
3	5	5	0	1
4	10	8	4	2
5	21	19	4	2
6	41	38	6	2
7	65	63	3	3
8	97	97	0	1
9	131	131	0	1
10	148	148	0	1
11	148	148	0	1
12	131	131	0	1
13	97	97	0	1
14	65	65	0	1
15	41	41	0	1
16	21	21	0	1
17	10	10	0	1
18	5	5	0	1
19	2	2	0	1
20	1	1	0	1
21	1	1	0	1

Table 7: Graphs on 8 vertices

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
0	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	1
3	5	5	0	1
4	11	9	4	2
5	24	20	8	2
6	56	48	13	3
7	115	102	23	4
8	221	200	39	3
9	402	392	20	2
10	663	652	22	2
11	980	971	18	2
12	1312	1301	21	3
13	1557	1552	10	2
14	1646	1643	6	2

(Continued on next page)

Table 7 *(Continued)*

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
15	1557	1557	0	1
16	1312	1311	2	2
17	980	979	2	2
18	663	663	0	1
19	402	402	0	1
20	221	221	0	1
21	115	115	0	1
22	56	56	0	1
23	24	24	0	1
24	11	11	0	1
25	5	5	0	1
26	2	2	0	1
27	1	1	0	1
28	1	1	0	1

Table 8: Graphs on 9 vertices

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
0	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	1
3	5	5	0	1
4	11	9	4	2
5	25	21	8	2
6	63	52	17	4
7	148	120	48	5
8	345	293	88	5
9	771	715	102	3
10	1637	1570	127	3
11	3252	3210	84	2
12	5995	5959	70	3
13	10120	10088	64	2
14	15615	15574	81	3
15	21933	21904	58	2
16	27987	27952	69	3
17	32403	32376	54	2
18	34040	34017	46	2
19	32403	32391	24	2
20	27987	27979	16	2
21	21933	21930	6	2
22	15615	15610	10	2

(Continued on next page)

Table 8 *(Continued)*

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
23	10120	10119	2	2
24	5995	5994	2	2
25	3252	3252	0	1
26	1637	1637	0	1
27	771	771	0	1
28	345	345	0	1
29	148	148	0	1
30	63	63	0	1
31	25	25	0	1
32	11	11	0	1
33	5	5	0	1
34	2	2	0	1
35	1	1	0	1
36	1	1	0	1

Table 9: Graphs on 10 vertices

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
0	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	1
3	5	5	0	1
4	11	9	4	2
5	26	22	8	2
6	66	53	21	4
7	165	129	59	6
8	428	330	159	7
9	1103	927	306	6
10	2769	2434	573	6
11	6759	6350	756	6
12	15772	15287	921	5
13	34663	34288	735	3
14	71318	70938	752	3
15	136433	136083	697	3
16	241577	241186	777	3
17	395166	394762	805	3
18	596191	595739	902	3
19	828728	828279	896	3
20	1061159	1060733	852	2
21	1251389	1251029	718	3
22	1358852	1358547	610	2

(Continued on next page)

Table 9 *(Continued)*

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
23	1358852	1358619	466	2
24	1251389	1251230	318	2
25	1061159	1061060	198	2
26	828728	828666	124	2
27	596191	596150	82	2
28	395166	395141	50	2
29	241577	241560	34	2
30	136433	136421	24	2
31	71318	71310	16	2
32	34663	34661	4	2
33	15772	15771	2	2
34	6759	6759	0	1
35	2769	2769	0	1
36	1103	1103	0	1
37	428	428	0	1
38	165	165	0	1
39	66	66	0	1
40	26	26	0	1
41	11	11	0	1
42	5	5	0	1
43	2	2	0	1
44	1	1	0	1
45	1	1	0	1

Table 10: Graphs on 11 vertices

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
0	1	1	0	1
1	1	1	0	1
2	2	2	0	1
3	5	5	0	1
4	11	9	4	2
5	26	22	8	2
6	67	54	21	4
7	172	133	63	6
8	467	349	186	8
9	1305	1025	453	8
10	3664	2981	1110	12
11	10250	9017	2110	10
12	28259	26102	3867	10
13	75415	73121	4348	5

(Continued on next page)

Table 10 *(Continued)*

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
14	192788	190225	4995	5
15	467807	465364	4839	4
16	1069890	1067326	5103	4
17	2295898	2293123	5539	3
18	4609179	4605886	6572	4
19	8640134	8636125	8002	3
20	15108047	15103213	9655	3
21	24630887	24625314	11131	4
22	37433760	37427675	12158	4
23	53037356	53031054	12596	3
24	70065437	70059298	12272	3
25	86318670	86312952	11429	4
26	99187806	99182803	10004	4
27	106321628	106317385	8483	3
28	106321628	106318153	6948	3
29	99187806	99185067	5476	4
30	86318670	86316513	4310	4
31	70065437	70063788	3298	2
32	53037356	53036062	2588	2
33	37433760	37432802	1914	4
34	24630887	24630184	1404	4
35	15108047	15107546	1002	2
36	8640134	8639789	690	2
37	4609179	4608966	426	2
38	2295898	2295761	274	2
39	1069890	1069818	144	2
40	467807	467770	74	2
41	192788	192774	28	2
42	75415	75411	8	2
43	28259	28258	2	2
44	10250	10250	0	1
45	3664	3664	0	1
46	1305	1305	0	1
47	467	467	0	1
48	172	172	0	1
49	67	67	0	1
50	26	26	0	1
51	11	11	0	1
52	5	5	0	1
53	2	2	0	1
54	1	1	0	1

(Continued on next page)

Table 10 *(Continued)*

m	#graphs	#perm. pols	# with mate	max. family
55	1	1	0	1

References

[1] F. Belardo, V.D. Filippis and S.K. Simić, Computing the permanental polynomial of matrix from a combinatorial viewpoint, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 66 (2011) 381–396.

[2] M. Borowiecki, On spectrum and per-spectrum of graphs, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) 38 (1985) 31–33.

[3] M. Borowiecki and T. Jóźwiak, A note on characteristic and permanental polynomials of multigraphs, in: M. Borowiecki, J.W. Kennedy and M.M. Sysło (eds.) *Graph Theory* (Łagów, 1981, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1983), pp. 75–78.

[4] M. Borowiecki and T. Jóźwiak, Computing the permanental polynomial of a multigraph, Discussiones Math. 5 (1982) 9–16.

[5] R. Boulet and B. Jouve, The lollipop graph is determined by its spectrum, Electron. J. Combin. 15 (2008) #R74.

[6] A.E. Brouwer and E. Spence, Cospectral graphs on 12 vertices, Electron. J. Combin. 16 (2009) #N20.

[7] M. Cámara and W.H. Haemers, Spectral characterizations of almost complete graphs, Discrete Appl. Math. 176 (2014) 19–23.

[8] G.G. Cash, The permanental polynomial, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 40 (2000) 1203–1206.

[9] G.G. Cash, Permanental polynomials of smaller fullerenes, J. Chem. Inf. Comput. Sci. 40 (2000) 1207–1209.

[10] R. Chen, A note on the relations between the permanental and characteristic polynomials of coronoid hydrocarbons, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 51 (2004) 137–148.

[11] Q. Chou, H. Liang and F. Bai, Remarks on the relations between the permanental and characteristic polynomials of fullerenes, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 66 (2011) 743–750.

[12] E.R. van Dam and W.H. Haemers, Which graphs are determined by their spectrum? Linear Algebra Appl. 373 (2003) 241–272.

[13] E.R. van Dam and W.H. Haemers, Developments on spectral characterizations of graphs, Discrete Math. 309 (2009) 576–586.

[14] C.D. Godsil and B.D. McKay, Constructing cospectral graphs, Aequationes Math. 25 (1982) 257–268.

[15] I. Gutman and G.G. Cash, Relations between the permanental and characteristic polynomials of fullerenes and benzenoid hydrocarbons, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 45 (2002) 55–70.

[16] W.H. Haemers, X. Liu and Y. Zhang, Spectral characterizations of lollipop graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 428 (2008) 2415–2423.

[17] W.H. Haemers and E. Spence, Enumeration of cospectral graphs, European J. Combin. 25 (2004) 199–211.

[18] F. Harary, C. King, A. Mowshowitz and R.C. Read, Cospectral graphs and digraphs, Bull. London Math. Soc. 3 (1971) 321–328.

[19] Y. Huo, H. Liang and F. Bai, An efficient algorithm for computing permanental polynomials of graphs, Comput. Phys. Comm. 175 (2006) 196–203.

[20] D. Kasum, N. Trinajstić and I. Gutman, Chemical graph theory. III. On permanental polynomial, Croat. Chem. Acta 54 (1981) 321–328.

[21] H. Liang, H. Tong and F. Bai, Computing the permanental polynomial of C_{60} in parallel, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 60 (2008) 349–358.

[22] S. Liu and H. Zhang, On the characterizing properties of the permanental polynomials of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 438 (2013) 157–172.

[23] S. Liu and H. Zhang, Characterizing properties of permanental polynomials of lollipop graphs, Linear Multilinear Algebra 62 (2014) 419–444.

[24] L. Lovász and M.D. Plummer, Matching Theory, Annals of Disctete Mathematics, Vol. 29, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1986.

[25] B.D. McKay and A. Piperno, Practical graph isomorphism, II, J. Symbolic Comput. 60 (2014) 94–112.

[26] R. Merris, K.R. Rebman and W. Watkins, Permanental polynomials of graphs, Linear Algebra Appl. 38 (1981) 273–288.

[27] M. Noy, Graphs determined by polynomial invariants, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 307 (2003) 365–384.

[28] H. Tong, H. Liang and F. Bai, Permanental polynomials of the larger fullerenes, MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. 56 (2006) 141–152.

[29] J. Turner, Generalized matrix functions and the graph isomorphism problem, SIAM J. Appl. Math. 16 (1968) 520–526.

[30] L.G. Valiant, The complexity of computing the permanent, Theoret. Comput. Sci. 8 (1979) 189–201.

[31] W. Yan and F. Zhang, On the permanental polynomials of some graphs, J. Math. Chem. 35 (2004) 175–188.

[32] H. Zhang and W. Li, Computing the permanental polynomials of bipartite graphs by Pfaffian orientation, Discrete Applied Math. 160 (2012) 2069–2074.

[33] H. Zhang, S. Liu and W. Li, A note on the permanental roots of bipartite graphs, Discuss. Math. Graph Theory 34 (2014) 49–56.

[34] H. Zhang, T. Wu and H. Lai, Per-spectral characterizations of some edge-deleted subgraphs of a complete graph, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 63 (2014) 397–410.